PTO/SB/17 (12-04v2)

Surder the Payarant R	eduction of 1999	5 no nerson are re	autred to	Approved for use through //31/2006. OMB 0651-003 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number					
FEE TRANSMITTAL For FY 2005				Complete if Known					
				Application Number 09/869,554					
						December 23, 1999			
						Anna Orlefors			
				Examiner Name S		S. A. Sakelaris			
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27				Art Unit 1		1634			
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 120.00				Attorney Docket No.		HO-P02216US0			
METHOD OF PAYM	ENT (check all t	that apply)							
Check Credit Card Money Order None Other (please identify):									
X Deposit Account									
<u> </u>	Deposit Account tentified deposit		2375 irector is	_ Deposit Account Na hereby authoriz		eck all that apply)		<u></u>	
	e(s) indicated be		100101 13			dicated below, e		he filing fee	
The control of the co								•	
fee(s) und	ler 37 CFR 1.16	and 1.17			. u.i., 0.0.p			<u> </u>	
FEE CALCULATION									
1. BASIC FILING, SEAF	•					NATION EEEO			
	FILIN	G FEES Small Entity	SEA	ARCH FEES Small Entity	EXAMII	NATION FEES Small Entity			
Application Type	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$		Fee (\$)		Fees	Paid (\$)	
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100			
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65			
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80			
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300			
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0			
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEE	s					·		Small Entity	
Fee Description							<u>Fee (\$)</u>	<u>Fee (\$)</u>	
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)							50	25	
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)							200	100	
Multiple dependent clai	ms						360	180	
Total Claims			Paid (\$) <u>Multiple Depen</u>			dent Claims			
	-=x=				ee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)			
Indep. Claims Ex	tra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee F	Paid (\$)					
3. APPLICATION SIZE If the specification and listings under 37 CI sheets or fraction the	I drawings exceed fR 1.52(e)), the	application siz	e fee du	e is \$250 (\$125	for small e	iled sequence or entity) for each a	computer dditional 5	50	
Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	•	of each a	dditional 50 or fra			<u>Fee</u>	Paid (\$)	
- 100 = /50 (round up to a whole number) x = 4. OTHER FEE(S) Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)								Fees Paid (\$)	
Other (e.g., late filing		-	-	-	first mor	nth	1:	20.00	
SUBMITTED BY	11/1/								
Signature	////			Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	45,872	Telephone	(713) 6	51-5407	
Name (Print/Type) Melis	a W. Acosta		· · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Date	February	28, 2005	

Fee Transmittal

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. ER540910493US, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated: February 28, 2005

Signature:

(Staci Harris)

13-01-05

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. ER540910493US, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated: February 28

Stari Harris

Docket No.: HO-P02216US0 (PATENT)

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application of: nna Orlefors et al.

Application No.: 09/869,554

Art Unit: 1634

Filed: December 23, 1999

Examiner: S. A. Sakelaris

For: METHOD FOR SEQUENCING DNA USING A

MICROFLUIDIC DEVICE

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO A NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

In response to the Office Action dated October 29, 2004, please amend the above-identified U.S. patent application as follows:

Interview Summary begins on page 2 of this paper;

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 3 of this paper; and

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 16 of this paper.

Application No.: 09/869,554 Docket No.: HO-P02216US0

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

An in person interview was conducted with the Examiner Sally Sakelaris on February 16, 2005. Applicants in attendance included an inventor, Per Andersson, a representative for the Assignee, Hakan Bergander, and two representatives for the Applicants, David Fox and Melissa Acosta.

Applicants first stated that the record should indicate that Mian et al. teaches the Sanger method of sequencing. Applicants also discussed possible amendments to distinguish the present invention in view of the prior art of record, for example a common application area which is supported by the specification page 2, lines 25-27, page 13, lines 1-2, and Figure 4a-4d, see item 1. Another items discussed was more than one reaction area per microchannel structure, which is not taught by either Ronahgi et al. and Mian et al.

25504153.1